

# **EXHIBIT 6**

1                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

3                   YARON UNGAR, et al

4                   C.A. NO. 00-105 L

5                   Plaintiffs

6                   V

7                   THE PALESTINIAN LIBERATION  
8                   ORGANIZATION, et al

9                   OCTOBER 25, 2010  
10                  PROVIDENCE, RI

11                  Defendants

12                  BEFORE: MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAVID L. MARTIN

13                  APPEARANCES:

14                  FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:     DAVID J. STRACHMAN, Esq.  
15                                            321 S. Main St.  
16                                            Suite 400  
17                                            Providence, RI 02903  
18                                            401-351-7700

19                  MAX WISTOW, Esq.  
20                                            61 Weybosset St.  
21                                            Providence, RI 02903  
22                                            401-831-2700

23                  FOR THE DEFENDANTS:    DEMING F. SHERMAN, Esq.  
24                                            2800 Financial Plaza  
25                                            Providence, RI 02903  
                                                  401-274-9200

                                          MARK J. ROCHON, Esq.  
                                          BRIAN A. HILL, Esq.  
                                          655 Fifteenth St., NW  
                                          Suite 900  
                                          Washington, DC 20005  
                                          202-626-5800

1 THE COURT: You may.

2 MR. ROCHON: Thank you, your Honor. The fact  
3 is we have argued in response to this motion and to  
4 tomorrow's motions that the issues of timing and  
5 willfulness are not actually proper areas for  
6 discovery in this matter. We've argued that timing is  
7 a threshold matter, and willfulness is a conceded  
8 matter. We think those are strong arguments. In any  
9 event, however the Court comes down on those, the fact  
10 that they're now dominating the discovery in this case  
11 is turning this entire discovery process upside down.  
12 We have a situation where the defendants have stood  
13 before Judge Lagueux, both in papers and in person,  
14 and the First Circuit, and said willfulness is  
15 conceded. And yet the entirety, not the entirety, but  
16 huge swats of the discovery sought by the plaintiffs  
17 go to willfulness. And other huge swats go to why did  
18 you file your motion in December 2007 instead of  
19 sometime between July 2004 and then.

20 THE COURT: Well, let me stop you at that  
21 point. Your position is that the issue of  
22 willfulness, that the defendants deliberately  
23 defaulted, is not an issue as relevant -- not an issue  
24 that requires any discovery because you've conceded  
25 that.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

6 I, court approved transcriber, certify that the  
7 foregoing is a correct transcript from the official  
8 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the  
9 above-entitled matter.

10  
11  
12 /s/JOSEPH A. FONTES/

13 COURT REPORTER

14 NOVEMBER 10, 2010

15 DATE

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25